



# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO): SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

|  |                    |
|--|--------------------|
| Date: 10/10/17   | Surveyor: W.TAYLOR |
| <b>Tree details</b><br>TPO Ref: T2- <i>Conservation Area</i> Tree/Group No:<br>Location: <i>Woodsdown House, 85 Anglesea Road</i><br>The Southampton ( ) Tree Preservation Order 201 |                    |
| Species: <i>Maple</i><br><i>T9 on plan.</i>  |                    |

### Part 1: Amenity assessment

#### a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- |                     |                         |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good             | Highly suitable         |
| 3) Fair             | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor             | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead             | Unsuitable              |
| 0) Dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

\* Relates to existing condition and is intended to apply to severe irremediable effects only.

|                          |          |
|--------------------------|----------|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | <i>5</i> |
|--------------------------|----------|

#### b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

\* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality.

|                          |          |
|--------------------------|----------|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | <i>9</i> |
|--------------------------|----------|

#### c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use.

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees. | Highly suitable     |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public       | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only              | Just suitable       |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size              | Probably unsuitable |

|                          |           |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | <i>13</i> |
|--------------------------|-----------|

#### d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- |  |
|--|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees   |
| 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion      |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habit importance |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual      |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features          |

|                          |           |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | <i>14</i> |
|--------------------------|-----------|

### Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify.

- |                               |
|-------------------------------|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree   |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree   |
| 1) Precautionary only.        |

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | <i>Recommened work</i><br><i>19 - would signif. cantly</i><br><i>impact health of tree.</i> |
|--------------------------|---|

### Part 3: Decision guide

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-10  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible        |
| 15+   | Definitely merits TPO |

|                              |           |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Add Scores for Total:</b> | <i>19</i> |
|------------------------------|-----------|

|                  |            |
|------------------|------------|
| <b>Decision:</b> | <i>TPO</i> |
|------------------|------------|



# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO): SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

|  |                     |
|--|---------------------|
| Date: 10/10/17   | Surveyor: W. TAYLOR |
| <b>Tree details</b><br>TPO Ref: T2- Conservation Area Tree/Group No:<br>Location: Wardsworth House, 85 Angelsea Road.<br>The Southampton ( ) Tree Preservation Order 201 |                     |
| Species: CEDAR<br>TS on plan.  |                     |

### Part 1: Amenity assessment

#### a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- |                     |                         |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good             | Highly suitable         |
| 3) Fair             | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor             | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead             | Unsuitable              |
| 0) Dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

|                          |
|--------------------------|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> |
| 5                        |

\* Relates to existing condition and is intended to apply to severe irremediable effects only.

#### b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

|                          |
|--------------------------|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> |
| 9                        |

\* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality.

#### c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use.

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees. | Highly suitable     |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public       | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only              | Just suitable       |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size              | Probably unsuitable |

- |                     |
|---------------------|
| Highly suitable     |
| Suitable            |
| Just suitable       |
| Barely suitable     |
| Probably unsuitable |

|                          |
|--------------------------|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> |
| 14                       |

#### d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- |  |  |                          |    |
|--|--|--------------------------|----|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees   | <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>Score &amp; Notes</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">15</td> </tr> </table> | <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | 15 |
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b>   |  |                          |    |
| 15   |  |                          |    |
| 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion      |  |                          |    |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habit importance |  |                          |    |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual      |  |                          |    |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features          |  |                          |    |

### Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify.

- |                               |  |                          |    |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------|----|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree   | <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>Score &amp; Notes</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">18</td> </tr> </table> | <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | 18 |
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b>      |  |                          |    |
| 18                            |  |                          |    |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree |  |                          |    |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree   |  |                          |    |
| 1) Precautionary only.        |  |                          |    |

### Part 3: Decision guide

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-10  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible        |
| 15+   | Definitely merits TPO |

|                              |
|------------------------------|
| <b>Add Scores for Total:</b> |
| 18                           |

|                  |
|------------------|
| <b>Decision:</b> |
| TPO              |



# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO): SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

|   |                    |
|---|--------------------|
| Date: 10/10/17                                  | Surveyor: W.TAYLOR |
| <b>Tree details</b>                             |                    |
| TPO Ref: T2- Conservation Area                  | Tree/Group No:     |
| Location: Wardsworth house, 85 Anglesea Road    | Species: Oak       |
| The Southampton ( ) Tree Preservation Order 201 | T6 on plan         |

### Part 1: Amenity assessment

#### a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- |                     |                         |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good             | Highly suitable         |
| ③) Fair             | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor             | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead             | Unsuitable              |
| 0) Dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

\* Relates to existing condition and is intended to apply to severe irremediable effects only.

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | 3 |
|--------------------------|---|

#### b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| ④) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

\* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality.

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | 7 |
|--------------------------|---|

#### c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use.

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| ⑤) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees. | Highly suitable     |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public       | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only              | Just suitable       |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size              | Probably unsuitable |

|                          |    |
|--------------------------|----|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | 12 |
|--------------------------|----|

#### d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- |  |                          |
|--|--------------------------|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees   | <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> |
| 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion      |                          |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habit importance |                          |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual      |                          |
| ①) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features          |                          |

|                          |    |
|--------------------------|----|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | 13 |
|--------------------------|----|

### Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify.

- |                               |                          |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| ⑤) Immediate threat to tree   | <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree |                          |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree   |                          |
| 1) Precautionary only.        |                          |

|                          |    |
|--------------------------|----|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | 18 |
|--------------------------|----|

### Part 3: Decision guide

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-10  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible        |
| 15+   | Definitely merits TPO |

|                              |    |
|------------------------------|----|
| <b>Add Scores for Total:</b> | 18 |
|------------------------------|----|

|                  |     |
|------------------|-----|
| <b>Decision:</b> | TPO |
|------------------|-----|



# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO): SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

|   |                    |
|---|--------------------|
| Date: 10/10/17  | Surveyor: W.TAYLOR |
| <b>Tree details</b><br>TPO Ref: T2- Conservation Area Tree/Group No:<br>Location: Wardsworth house, 85 Anglesea Road<br>The Southampton ( ) Tree Preservation Order 201 |                    |
| Species: Oak<br>T7 on plan.   |                    |

### Part 1: Amenity assessment

#### a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- |                     |                         |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good             | Highly suitable         |
| ③) Fair             | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor             | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead             | Unsuitable              |
| 0) Dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

|                          |
|--------------------------|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> |
| 3                        |

\* Relates to existing condition and is intended to apply to severe irremediable effects only.

#### b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| ②) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

|   |
|---|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b>  |
| 5 - Inanotus dryadeus alleged but no evidence provided or seen. |

\* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality.

#### c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use.

- ⑤) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees.
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public
- 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only
- 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty
- 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

- Highly suitable
- Suitable
- Just suitable
- Barely suitable
- Probably unsuitable

|                          |
|--------------------------|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> |
| 10                       |

#### d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habit importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- ①) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

|                          |
|--------------------------|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> |
| 11                       |

### Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify.

- ⑤) Immediate threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only.

|   |
|---|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b>                            |
| 16 - recommend works detrimental to health of tree. |

### Part 3: Decision guide

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-10  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible        |
| 15+   | Definitely merits TPO |

|                              |
|------------------------------|
| <b>Add Scores for Total:</b> |
| 16                           |

|                  |
|------------------|
| <b>Decision:</b> |
| TPO              |

**TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):  
SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE**

|   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| Date: 10/10/17  | Surveyor: W. Taylor |
| <b>Tree details</b><br>TPO Ref: T2- Conservation Area Tree/Group No:<br>Location: Wordsworth house, 85 Anglesea Road<br>The Southampton ( ) Tree Preservation Order 201 |                     |
| Species: LONDON PLANE<br>T8 on Plan   |                     |

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO:**

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- |                     |                         |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good             | Highly suitable         |
| 3) Fair             | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor             | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead             | Unsuitable              |
| 0) Dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

\* Relates to existing condition and is intended to apply to severe irremediable effects only.

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | Tree is a lapsed pollard but has developed strong scaffold branch system. |
| 5                        |   |

**b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:**

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

\* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality.

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> |  |
| 9                        |  |

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use.

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees. | Highly suitable     |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public       | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only              | Just suitable       |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size              | Probably unsuitable |

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> |  |
| 13                       |  |

**d) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- |  |   |                          |  |    |  |
|--|---|--------------------------|--|----|--|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees   | <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:30%;"><b>Score &amp; Notes</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">14</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> | <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> |  | 14 |  |
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b>   |   |                          |  |    |  |
| 14   |   |                          |  |    |  |
| 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion      |   |                          |  |    |  |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habit importance |   |                          |  |    |  |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual      |   |                          |  |    |  |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features          |   |                          |  |    |  |

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify.

- |                               |   |                          |  |    |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|----|--|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree   | <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:30%;"><b>Score &amp; Notes</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">19</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> | <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> |  | 19 |  |
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b>      |   |                          |  |    |  |
| 19                            |   |                          |  |    |  |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree |   |                          |  |    |  |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree   |   |                          |  |    |  |
| 1) Precautionary only.        |   |                          |  |    |  |

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-10  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible        |
| 15+   | Definitely merits TPO |

|                              |    |
|------------------------------|----|
| <b>Add Scores for Total:</b> | 19 |
|------------------------------|----|

|                  |     |
|------------------|-----|
| <b>Decision:</b> | TPO |
|------------------|-----|