

#### TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

		OKVET DATA SI	TEET & DECISION GUIDE	
Date: 10	10/17		Surveyor: W.TAYCON	
Tree details TPO Ref: T2- Conservation Area Tree/Group No: Location: Wordsworth House, 85 Anglesea Road The Southampton () Tree Preservation Order 201  T9 on plan.				
a) Condition	ity assessment & suitability for TP ance Note for definit			
5) Good 3) Fair 1) Poor 0) Dead 0) Dying/dange * Relates to exist	Unsuitable rous* Unsuitable	be suitable	Score & Notes   irremediable effects only.	
b) Remaining	longevity (in year	s) & suitability fo	or TPO:	
5) 100+ <b>4)</b> 40-100 2) 20-40 1) 10-20 0) <10*	Highly suitable Very suitable Suitable Just suitable Unsuitable		Score & Notes	
c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:  Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use.  5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees. 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size  Highly suitable Suitable Just suitable Barely suitable Probably unsuitable				
d) Other factors  Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify  5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habit importance 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual  1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features				
Part 2: Expediency assessment Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify.  [5] Immediate threat to tree [6] Porceseeable threat to tree [7] Perceived threat to tree [8] Precautionary only.		Score & Notes  Recemmended work  19 - would significantly in part health of took		
Part 3: Decision guide				
Any 0  -6  -10  -14  5+	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible Does not merit TPO TPO defensible Definitely merits TI		Add Scores for Total:	Decision:

15+



Date:	10/10/17	Surveyor:	4	J. TAYLOR		
Tree d TPO R Location The So	etails lef: T2-Conservation Area on: Words worth House, 85 outhampton () Tree Preservation	Tree/Group No: Anglesea Road n Order 201		Spec T5	cies: (	EDAR plan.
Part 1: A	menity assessment					
a) Condi	tion & suitability for TPO: Guidance Note for definitions					
5 Good	Highly suitable	Score & N	otes			
3) Fair	Suitable			•		
1) Poor	Unlikely to be suita	able	5	)		
0) Dead	Unsuitable					
* Relates to	angerous* Unsuitable					
	existing condition and is intended to ap		etteci	ts only.		
b) Kemai	ning longevity (in years) & su					
5) 100+	Highly suitable	Score & No	otes			
40-100	Very suitable			)		
2) 20-40	Suitable		7	7		
1) 10-20	Just suitable					
0) <10*	Unsuitable					
*Includes tre negating the	ees which are an existing or near future potential of other trees of better quality	nuisance, including those <sup>,</sup> .	<u>clearl</u>	<u>v</u> outgrowing thei	context,	or which are significantly
3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size  Suitable Barely suitable Probably unsuitable					Score & Notes	
d) Other factors  Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify						
5) Principal	components of arboricultural featur	res, or veteran trees		Score & No	tes	
i) Trees wi	ups, or members of groups importa th identifiable historic, commemorat	nt for their conesion			16	
2) Trees of	particularly good form, especially if	rare or mabil importance	,		15	1
2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual  1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features						
Part 2: Expediency assessment						
Frees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify.  Score & Notes						
i) Immediate threat to tree						
N Francis - 1 1 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -				1		
) Perceive			18		1	
) Precautionary only.						
Part 3: Decision guide						
ny 0	Do not apply TPO	AAA C	icoro	s for Total:	D-	oioion.
-6	TPO indefensible	Aud S	core	o iui iutai:	De	cision:
-10	Does not merit TPO		15	2		TPM
1-14	TPO defensible		1<	2		110
5+	Definitely merits TPO					
	,					



	/ /				
	10/10/17		Surveyor: W	TAYCOR	
Tree deta TPO Ref Location: The Sout	ails T2- Conservation 1 Wordsworth house hampton () Tree Prese	Aree Tree/Gree/ Se Argle rvation Order 20	roup No: esea Road 01	Species:	Oak m plan
a) Condition	enity assessment on & suitability for TP0 idance Note for definition	D: ons			
5) Good 3) Fair 1) Poor 0) Dead 0) Dying/dan * Relates to ex	Highly suita Suitable Unlikely to I Unsuitable gerous* Unsuitable isting condition and is intende	be suitable	Score & Notes	3 ts only.	
	ng longevity (in years			,,	
5) 100+ <b>4</b> ) 40-100 2) 20-40 1) 10-20 0) <10*	Highly suitable Very suitable Suitable Just suitable Unsuitable		Score & Notes	7	
*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality.					
c) Relative   Consider realist	oublic visibility & suitable s	ability for TPO: with changed land	use.		
4) Large trees 3) Medium tre 2) Young, sma	trees with some visibility, or, or medium trees clearly es, or large trees with limiall, or medium/large trees isible to the public, regard	visible to the publ ited view only visible only with d	ic Su Ju ifficulty Ba	ghly suitable uitable st suitable urely suitable obably unsuitable	Score & Notes
d) Other factors  Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify					
5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or ver 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for thei 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or hal 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or ur 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming fe			cohesion t importance usual	Score & Notes	3
Part 2: Expe	diency assessment	qualify			
Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify.  (5) Immediate threat to tree 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only.		quant.	Score & Note	es	
Part 3: Decis	ion guide				
Any 0 1-6 7-10 11-14 15+	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible Does not merit TPO TPO defensible Definitely merits TPO	)	Add Score	_       -	Decision:



	7 7		
Date: 10	10/17	Surveyor: W.TAYLOR	
Tree detail TPO Ref: 1 Location: ) The South	s 2- Conservation Avea Tree Nordsworth house, 85 ampton () Tree Preservation Orde	e/Group No: Species: C Anglesea Road T7 on	plan.
a) Condition	nity assessment & suitability for TPO: lance Note for definitions	4	
5) Good ③)Fair 1) Poor 0) Dead 0) Dying/dange		Score & Notes	
	ting condition and is intended to apply to se g longevity (in years) & suitabilit		
5) 100+ 4) 40-100 (2) 20-40 1) 10-20 0) <10*	Highly suitable Very suitable Suitable Just suitable Unsuitable Unsuitable	Score & Notes  5 - Inanotus dryadeus  6 - no evidence provi	allegeel but ded or seen.
*Includes trees w		e, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context,	or which are significantly
(5) Very large tre 4) Large trees, 3) Medium tree 2) Young, small 1) Trees not vis d) Other factor		arge trees. Highly suitable bublic Suitable Just suitable th difficulty Barely suitable Probably unsuitable	Score & Notes
5) Principal com 4) Tree groups, 3) Trees with ide 2) Trees of parti	accrued 7 or more points (with no zero scon ponents of arboricultural features, or work members of groups important for the entifiable historic, commemorative or hocularly good form, especially if rare or one of the above additional redeeming	veteran trees leir cohesion labit importance unusual	
Part 2: Exped	iency assessment accrued 9 or more points to qualify.	Score & Notes	
5)Immediate threat to tree 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only.		Recenmend w 16 - to health of	rock/ detrimental
Part 3: Decisi	on guide		
Any 0 1-6 7-10 11-14 15+	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible Does not merit TPO TPO defensible Definitely merits TPO	Add Scores for Total:	cision:



	Surveyor: W. TAYLOR				
Tree details TPO Ref: T2- Conservation Area Tree/Gre Location: Wordsworth house 85 Argesee The Southampton () Tree Preservation Order 20	oup No: Species: Lowson PLANE  T8 on Plan				
Part 1: Amenity assessment					
a) Condition & suitability for TPO: Refer to Guidance Note for definitions					
3) Fair Suitable 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable 0) Dead Unsuitable 0) Dying/dangerous* Unsuitable	Score & Notes Tree is a lapsed pollard but  5 - has developed strang scalfold  broanch system.				
* Relates to existing condition and is intended to apply to severe	•				
b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for					
5) 100+ Highly suitable 4) 40-100 Very suitable 2) 20-40 Suitable 1) 10-20 Just suitable 0) <10* Unsuitable	Score & Notes  9				
•	cluding those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly				
c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:  Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use.  5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees. 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size  Highly suitable Suitable Just suitable Barely suitable Probably unsuitable					
d) Other factors  Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify					
5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habit importance 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual  1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features					
Part 2: Expediency assessment					
Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify.	Score & Notes				
5) Immediate threat to tree 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only.	19				
Part 3: Decision guide					
Any 0 Do not apply TPO 1-6 TPO indefensible 7-10 Does not merit TPO 11-14 TPO defensible 15+ Definitely merits TPO	Add Scores for Total:  Decision:  TPO				